AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

1	1. (Currently Amended) A method of using a computer system to
2	consolidate multiple configuration models of a product, the method comprising:
3	identifying a conflict between at least two of the configuration models, wherein
4	the configuration models are organized in accordance with respective
5	directed acyclic graphs, each configuration model includes at least one
6	ancestor configuration model family space and a child configuration
7	model family space below the ancestor configuration model family space,
8	a first of the conflicting configuration models comprises an ancestor
9	configuration model family space that is different than an ancestor
10	configuration model family space of a second of the conflicting
11	configuration model, and each child configuration model family space
12	constrains the ancestor configuration model family space above the child
13	in accordance with configuration rules of the configuration model to
14	which the child belongs;
15	extending at least one of the ancestor configuration model family spaces of the
16	conflicting configuration models so that the ancestor configuration model
17	family spaces of the first and second conflicting configuration models
18	represent the same ancestor configuration model family space;
19	removing from the child configuration model family space any configuration
20	space extended in the ancestor of the child configuration family space; and
21	combining the first and second configuration models into a single, consolidated
22	model that maintains a non-cyclic chain of dependencies among families
23	and features of families for use in answering configuration questions
24	related to the product.
1	2. (Original) The method of claim 1 further comprising:
2	detecting any inconsistencies between rules included in the consolidated model;
3	and

-2 of 18- S/N: 10/827,078

	1	1	
attemnting to	recolue anu	detected	inconsistencies
attempting to	10301vC ally	acticuta	inconsistencies.

4

1	3. (Currently Amended) A computer system <u>configured</u> for consolidating
2	multiple configuration models of a product, the system comprising:
3	a processor; and
4	a memory, coupled to the processor, having code stored therein and executable by
5	the processor for:
6	identifying a conflict between at least two of the configuration models,
7	wherein the configuration models are organized in accordance with
8	respective directed acyclic graphs, each configuration model
9	includes at least one ancestor configuration model family space
10	and a child configuration model family space below the ancestor
11	configuration model family space, a first of the conflicting
12	configuration models comprises an ancestor configuration model
13	family space that is different than an ancestor configuration model
14	family space of a second of the conflicting configuration model,
15	and each child configuration model family space constrains the
16	ancestor configuration model family space above the child in
17	accordance with configuration rules of the configuration model to
18	which the child belongs;
19	extending at least one of the ancestor configuration model family spaces
20	of the conflicting configuration models so that the ancestor
21	configuration model family spaces of the first and second
22	conflicting configuration models represent the same ancestor
23	configuration model family space;
24	removing from the child configuration model family space any
25	configuration space extended in the ancestor of the child
26	configuration family space; and
27	combining the first and second configuration models into a single,
28	consolidated model that maintains a non-cyclic chain of

-3 of 18- S/N: 10/827,078

29	dependencies among families and features of families for use in
30	answering configuration questions <u>related to the product</u> .

4. (Currently Amended) A computer readable medium having instructions encoded therein and executable by a processor to consolidate multiple <u>configuration</u> models <u>of a product</u>, the instructions comprising code for:

identifying a conflict between at least two of the configuration models, wherein the configuration models are organized in accordance with respective

the configuration models are organized in accordance with respective directed acyclic graphs, each configuration model includes at least one ancestor configuration model family space and a child configuration model family space below the ancestor configuration model family space, a first of the conflicting configuration models comprises an ancestor configuration model family space that is different than an ancestor configuration model family space of a second of the conflicting configuration model, and each child configuration model family space constrains the ancestor configuration model family space above the child in accordance with configuration rules of the configuration model to which the child belongs;

extending at least one of the ancestor configuration model family spaces of the conflicting configuration models so that the ancestor configuration model family spaces of the first and second conflicting configuration models represent the same ancestor configuration model family space;

removing from the child configuration model family space any configuration space extended in the ancestor of the child configuration family space; and combining the first and second configuration models into a single, consolidated model that maintains a non-cyclic chain of dependencies among families and features of families for use in answering configuration questions related to the product.

5. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1 wherein the configuration models represent configuration models of vehicles.

-4 of 18- S/N: 10/827.078

1	6.	(Previously Presented)	The method of claim 1 wherein the
2	consolidated	model includes only buildable	configurations.
1	7.	(Previously Presented) The r	nethod of claim 1 wherein:
2	exten	ding at least one of the ancestor	configuration model family spaces of the
3		conflicting configuration mod	dels so that the ancestor configuration model
4		family spaces of the first and	second conflicting configuration models
5		represent the same ancestor c	onfiguration model family further comprises:
6	extending a rule from the first configuration model into the ancestor		
7		configuration model f	amily space; and
8	removing from the child configuration model family space any configuration		
9		space extended in the ancesto	or of the child configuration family space
10		further comprises:	
11		repairing the extension of the	rule in the child family.
1	8.	(Previously Presented) The r	nethod of claim 1 wherein combining the
2	first and seco	and models into a single, consol	idated model further comprises:
3	loadir	ng the configuration models into	o a memory of the computer system;
4	consti	ructing a directed acyclic graph	of all rules in all the configuration models;
5	for ea	ach configuration model, determ	nining which portions of an overall
6		configuration space for which	n the configuration model does not provide a
7		buildable configuration; and	
8	for ea	ach configuration model, constra	aining statements of the rules within the
9		configuration model to fall w	ithin a space of defining features of the
10		configuration model.	
1	9.	(Previously Presented) The r	nethod of claim 8 wherein determining which
2	portions of an	n overall configuration space fo	r which each configuration model does not
3	provide a bui	ildable configuration further con	nprises:
4	deterr	mining which families are ances	stors of families of defining constraints; and

-5 of 18- S/N: 10/827,078

3	Subu	acting a right hand side and a	ien nand side of each fule of each family that
6		are ancestors of families of	defining constraints from a rule representing
7		all buildable configurations	
1	10.	(Previously Presented) The	system of claim 3 further comprising code
2	for:		
3	detec	eting any inconsistencies between	een rules included in the consolidated model;
4		and	
5	attem	npting to resolve any detected	inconsistencies.
1	11.	(Previously Presented)	The system of claim 3 wherein the
2	configuration	n models represent configurati	on models of vehicles.
1	12.	(Previously Presented)	The system of claim 3 wherein the
2	consolidated	model includes only buildabl	e configurations.
1	13.	(Previously Presented) The	e system of claim 3 wherein:
2	the co	•	of the ancestor configuration model family
3		_	nfiguration models so that the ancestor
4		configuration model family	spaces of the first and second conflicting
5			sent the same ancestor configuration model
6		_	e for extending a rule from the first conflicting
7		configuration model into th	
8	the co	ode for removing from the chi	ld configuration model family space any
9		configuration space extende	ed in the ancestor of the child configuration
10		family space comprises cod	e for repairing the extension of the rule in the
11		child family.	
1	14.	(Previously Presented) The	e system of claim 3 the code for combining the
2	first and seco	• • •	olidated model further comprises code for:
3			nto a memory of the computer system;
4	const	ructing a directed acyclic grap	oh of all rules in all the configuration models;

-6 of 18- S/N: 10/827,078

3	for each configuration model, determining which portions of an overall
6	configuration space for which the configuration model does not provide a
7	buildable configuration; and
8	for each configuration model, constraining statements of the rules within the
9	configuration model to fall within a space of defining features of the
10	configuration model.
1	15. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 14 wherein the code for
2	determining which portions of an overall configuration space for which the configuration
3	model does not provide a buildable configuration further comprises code for:
4	determining which families are ancestors of families of defining constraints; and
5	subtracting a right hand side and a left hand side of each rule of each family that
6	are ancestors of families of defining constraints from a rule representing
7	all buildable configurations.
1	16. (Previously Presented) The computer readable medium of claim 4 further
2	comprising code for:
3	detecting any inconsistencies between rules included in the consolidated model;
4	and
5	attempting to resolve any detected inconsistencies.
1	17. (Previously Presented) The computer readable medium of claim 4
2	wherein the models represent configuration models of vehicles.
1	18. (Previously Presented) The computer readable medium of claim 4
2	wherein the configuration models represent configuration models of vehicles.
1	19. (Previously Presented) The computer readable medium of claim 4
2	wherein:
3	the code for extending at least one of the ancestor configuration model family
4	spaces of the conflicting configuration models so that the ancestor
5	configuration model family spaces of the first and second conflicting

-7 of 18- S/N: 10/827,078

6	configuration models represent the same ancestor configuration model		
7	family space comprises code for extending a rule from the first conflictin		
8	configuration model into the ancestor family; and		
9	the code for removing from the child configuration model family space any		
10	configuration space extended in the ancestor of the child configuration		
11	family space comprises code for repairing the extension of the rule in the		
12	child family.		
1	20. (Previously Presented) The computer readable medium of claim 4 the		
2	code for combining the first and second models into a single, consolidated model further		
3	comprises code for:		
4	loading the configuration models into a memory of the computer system;		
5	constructing a directed acyclic graph of all rules in all the configuration models;		
6	for each configuration model, determining which portions of an overall		
7	configuration space for which the configuration model does not provide a		
8	buildable configuration; and		
9	for each configuration model, constraining statements of the rules within the		
10	configuration model to fall within a space of defining features of the		
11	configuration model.		
1	21. (Previously Presented) The computer readable medium of claim 20		
2	wherein the code for determining which portions of an overall configuration space for		
3	which the configuration model does not provide a buildable configuration further		
4	comprises code for:		
5	determining which families are ancestors of families of defining constraints; and		
6	subtracting a right hand side and a left hand side of each rule of each family that		
7	are ancestors of families of defining constraints from a rule representing		
8	all buildable configurations.		

-8 of 18- S/N: 10/827,078

1	22.	(Currently Amended) A computer system for performing an automatic
2	consolidation of	of multiple configuration models of a configurable products product, the
3	system compri	sing:
4	means	for identifying a conflict between at least two of the configuration models,
5		wherein the configuration models are organized in accordance with
6		respective directed acyclic graphs, each configuration model includes at
7		least one ancestor configuration model family space and a child
8		configuration model family space below the ancestor configuration model
9		family space, a first of the conflicting configuration models comprises an
10		ancestor configuration model family space that is different than an
11		ancestor configuration model family space of a second of the conflicting
12		configuration model, and each child configuration model family space
13		constrains the ancestor configuration model family space above the child
14		in accordance with configuration rules of the configuration model to
15		which the child belongs;
16	means	for extending at least one of the ancestor configuration model family
17		spaces of the conflicting configuration models so that the ancestor
18		configuration model family spaces of the first and second conflicting
19		configuration models represent the same ancestor configuration model
20		family space;
21	means	for removing from the child configuration model family space any
22		configuration space extended in the ancestor of the child configuration
23		family space; and
24	means	for combining the first and second configuration models into a single,
25		consolidated model that maintains a non-cyclic chain of dependencies
26		among families and features of families for use in providing an answer to
27		configuration questions related to the product.

-9 of 18- S/N: 10/827,078